United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPENDIX

76-1015

PAS

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Docket No. 76-1015

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellant,

__v._

ADOLPH RIVERA,

Defendant-Appellee.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GOVERNMENT'S APPENDIX

ROBERT J. FISK, JR.,
United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York,
Attorney for the United States of Area
United States Courthouse Annex
One St. Andrews Plaza,
New York, New York 10007.

MAR 3 1976

MAR 3 1976

SECOND CIRCUIT

INDEX TO APPENDIX

	PAGE
Relevant Docket Entries	A-1
Indic.ment 74 Cr. 675	A-5
Excerpts from the Transcript of the Suppression Hearing held on September 13, 1974	A-11
Transcript of the Suppression Hearing held on December 15, 1975	A-48

JUDGE MOTLEY

71 CRIM. 675

•	TLE OF CASE A 1	ATTORNEYS				
THE UNIT	ED STATES	For U. S.:				
	18.	James E. Nesland AUSA				
MARC FISHE		264-6470				
KENNETH MY	ERSON 1,3-4					
The second residence of the control	LENN 1,3-5					
ADOLPH RIV	ERA 1,3-5					
		For Defendant:				
	1	CASH RECLIVED AND DISBURSED				
	MOUNT	NAME PECEIVED DISSURSE				
7						
Clark 5.5.3 4 4 3 2 1						
Marshal.	- - -					
and the second of the second o						
Afformey,	at					
•						
3Educes 21:812,841(a)(1) 841(b)(1)(B) 4 cts.						
Consp. to dist. LSD 1 ct.						
Distr. of LSD. 4 cts.						
3 counts						
DATE	PROCLE	DIK: 4				
-8-74 Filed Indictment.						
-8-74 Fired Hartetment.						
2) 7/ Post MahariNa atta	nros \ Court dir	ects entry of not guilty plea. Moti				
	ve Rail continued	as fixed by Mag. (\$10,000.P.R.B.)				
		t guilty. Motions returnable in 10				
	days.Continued on bail fixed by Mag. (\$10,000, P.R.B.). Deft.Glenn(atty, present) Pleads not guilty. Motions returnable in 10					
40 MOTE CITY (150 CONTINUED CONTINUED CONTINUED CONTINUED CONTINUED CONTINUED CONTINUED CONTINUED CONTINUED CO	days. Bail continued as fixed by Mag. (\$5,000. P.R.B. secured by 10%).					
물통을 하는 이 모든 물론을 즐겁게 되는 것은 것이 모든 사람이 되었다면 이번 경기를 하고 있다.	days. Bail continued as fixed by Mag. (\$1,000, P.R.B.)					
Case assigned to Mo	ciey, J. for all p	dioses. Duriy, J.				
	- CVER-					

DATE PROCEEDINGS PLAINTIFF Filed deft's Affidavit & Notice of Motion for an order suppressing DEFENDAN 7-20-74 certain evidence lurs to Rule 11 of the FRCP. 8-27-74 Filed Govt's notice of readiness. 9-13-74 Hearing on motion to suppress begun as to ADOLPH RIVERA & concluded .. Motion Denied. Motley, J. PREDERIC GLENN - Filed affdvt.of J.E.Nesland, AUSA in response to various motions. 10-1-74 . 1-10-75 ARC FISHER - Motion for substitution of counsel denied ... Motley, J. 1.21.75 MARC FISHER, Filed Affidavit & Notice of Motion for an order purs to Rule directing the U.S. Atty. to file a bill of Particulars, etc. rtble before Motley, J. 1-21-75 MARC FISHER- Filed memorandum of law in behalf of same. 1-28-75 ADOLPH RIVERA - Filed memo endorsed on motion filed 7-26-74....For reasons stated on the record at the hearing held Sept.13-74 the within motion to suppress was denied....So O dered.....Motley, J.....Mailed notice... 1-30-75 Filed notice of motion by deft FREDERIC GLENN for any statement made by deft, for copies of laboratory report etc.....With memo endorsed.. .. Motion denied for failure to file supporting memorandum....Motley, J.....Mailed notice... 2-18-75 Fill bill of particulars... 3-5-75 P/T conf. held...trial adjd to 7-21-75 3-10-75 Filed transcript of record of preceedings, dated Sept. 13, 1974 3-10-75 Filed transcript of record of preceedings, dated 5AN 27,1975 3-14-75 ADOLPH RIVERA - Filed memorandum in support of motion to suppress statements.. Filed transcript of record of proceedings, dated 3-5-75 3-18-75 BEST COPY AVAILABLE PAGE 3 528

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
3-18-75	MARC FISHER - Filed orders defts motion under FRCrP 16 for statements attributed to deft is denied****Motley,J.
3-25-75	ADOLPH RIVERA - Filed affdyt, & notice of motion suppressing certain evidence
5-1-75	ADOLPH RIVERA - Filed affdvt.of James E.Nesland, AUSA in opposition to motion to suppress confession.
5-1-75	ADOLPH RIVERA - Filed Govt's memorandum of law in opposition to Rivera's motion to suppress
5-22-75	ADDION PIMERA- Filed defts more received in support of metion to suppress statements as product of illegal arrest.
6-2-75	Med transcript of record of propodings, dated 3-5-75
10-6-75	and PLEADS CHILTY to Cts.1 & 3P.S.I. orderedSent, adjd to 11-21-75 3:30 batl cont'dMotley, J
	Filed petition to enter plea of Guilty
10-20-75	KENDERH MEYERSON - Filed petition to enter plea of GuiltyAtty.& deft present, withdraws plea of not cuilty and PLEADS GUILTY to count 4 onlyP.S.I. ordered seatence adjd to 11-21-75 lla.m. Bail cont'dMotley,J.
12-1-75	ASCIPH RIVERA - Filed Govt's merorandum of law in opposition to suppression motion.
12-5-75	MARC FISHER - Filed affdvt.of A.R.Bently, AUSA in opposition to motion for disclosure.
12-5-75	MARC FISHER - Filed Govt's memorandum in opposition to request for disclosure,.
12-12-75	A.HIVERA - Motion to suppress certain statements after arrestGRANTEDMOTLEY, J
12-16-75	A.RIVERA - Filed memo endorsed on motion filed 3-25-75Motion is grantedMotley,J.
12-19-7	Filed transcript of record of proceedings. Deted Oct. 20, 1975
1-6-176	Filed transcript of record of proceedings, dated (76, 1975
1-6-76	ADOLPH RIVERA - Filed notice of appeal from order rendered in open court on 12-12-75Copy to D.J.Steinbock Ped Defender Unit 15 Park Row NYC
1-9-76	REDERIC GLENN - Filed Judgme. (Atty.Robert Bloom, present) The deft is sentenced for a period of TWO YEARS on each of cts.1 and 3 to run concurrently with each other. Execution of sentence is suspended, deft is placed on probation for a period of TWO YEARS, subject to the standing probation order of this Court. Cts.4 and 5 are dismissed on motion of defts counsel with the consent of the Govt
1-14-76	ADOLPH RIVERA - Filed Covt's affdvt.& notice of motion for an order severing this deft from the other defts. and staying the trial
1-15-76	Filed transcript of record of procedigns dated; December 12-1975.
	The second secon

- Six PAGE 4-

D. C. Dis Principal Continuation Short

	H 4 Motley,	J.
DATE	PROCEEDINGS	
	TROCEEDINGS	
1-16-76	M.FISHER - Deft.& atty.presentWithdraw plea of not guilty and PLEADS GUILTY to	
	Ct. 4 only. P.S.I. ordered sent.adjd to 2-20-76 10:30 a.m. Rm. 519 Bail	
	Cont'd. Motley.J.	
1-15-76	A.RIVERA-Filed notice that orig. record certified to U.S.C.A.	
1-16-76	A.RIVERA - Filed notice that the 1st Supplemental record on appeal has been	
	certified and transmitted to the U.S.C.A.	
1-28-76	A.RIVERA - Filed memo endorsed on motion filed 1-14-76 The within motion is	
	unopposed and therefore grantedSo OrderedMotley, Jm/n	
2-6-76	KENNETH MEYERSON - Filed Judgment (Atty. Jack Hoffinger, present) Deft. sentenced to	
	THREE YEARS Execution of sentence is suspended. Deft. is placed on probation	
	for a period of THREE YEARS, subject to the standing probation order of this	
	Court Special condition of probation: Deft. is to continue psychiatric counsell	ng.
	On motion of deft's counsel, counts 1 and 3 are dismissed. No opposition by the GovtMotley, JEnt.on 2-9-76	
2-25-76	MARC FISHER - Filed Inde and the	
- 13-10	MARC FISHER - Filed Judgment(Atty_James Pascarella, present)Deft sentenced to THRE	EE_
	1 15 Execution of sentence is suspended, deft is placed on probation for	
	Period of Inkie IEARS, Subject to the standing probation order of this Court	
	Special conditions of probation. 1 Deft is to continue psychiatric treatment. 2. Deft is not to engage in any type of drug transactions.	
	3. Deft is to secure full time employment On motion of deft's course!	
	Counts 1,2 and 3 are dismissed, no opposition by the GovtMotley, J	
	Ent. 3-1-76	
	July 3-1-70	
	3	
	•••	-
		-
-		

USA-33s-538 - IND./INF. (Conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute narcotic drug.)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

PRODUCED, RESERVE MYSICAL REPORTS.

675

Defendants .

The Grand Jury charges:

1. From on or about the less day of and local the date of the filing of this indictment, in the Southern District of New York,

MASC PINNER ELIMINE MURISON PRESCRIE GLESS, and ADGLES RIVERA

the defendant and others to the Grand Jury unknown, unlawfully, intentionally and knowingly combined, conspired, confederated and agreed together and with each other to violate Sections 812, 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1) of Title 21, United States Code.

2. It was part of said conspiracy that the said defendants unlawfully, intentionally and knowingly would distribute and possess with intent to distribute Schedule I said drug controlled substances the exact amount thereof being to the Grand Jury unknown in violation of Sections 812, 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(a) of sittle 21, United States Code.

USA-33s-538 - p.2 - IND./INF. (Conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute narcotic drug.)

OVERT ACTS

In pursuance of the said conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof, the following overt acts were committed in the Southern District of New York:

- (1) On or about April 3, 1974, defendant MARC FIRMER met with an underscoor agent at the El Tor restaurant, 21 Greenwich Avenue, Numbettan, and agreed to sell 10,000 take of LED to the agent;
- (2) On or about April 8, 1974 defendant MARC FIRMER met with an undersover agent at the El Tou restaurant and gave a sample of LSD to the agent;
- (3) On or shout April 16, 1974 defendent MARS FIRMER mot with defendant MEMBERS MERROR at the El Tor restaurant and received 1980 take of LED which defendant FIRMER sold to two undergover agents; and
- (4) On or about April 15, 1976 defendance FREDERIC GLENE and ADGLIN RIVERA met with two undercover agains at Manjo's Restaurant, 33 seventh Avenue, Machatran and delivered 5000 tabe of LED.

(Title 21, United States Orde, Section 846)

USA-33s-529A - IND/INF - Distrib.-Possess Controlled Substance Rev. 5-27-72 (Succeeding Count)

COUNT

On or about the Sth day of April, 1974
in the Southern District of New York,

HANG FISHER.

the defendant , unlawfully, intentionally and knowingly did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a Schedule I controlled substance, to wit, approximately 320 missegress (A spets) of Lycensia held Distributealia (LED).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B).)

A 8

USA-33s-529A - IND/INF - Distrib.-Possess Controlled Substance Rev. 5-27-72 (Succeeding Count)

COUNT

The Grand Jury further charges:

On or about the 16th day of 49211, 1974 in the Southern District of New York,

NARC FIGURE MEMBER MERSON FREDERIC GLEEN and

the defendant, unlawfully, intentionally and knowingly did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a Schedule I controlled substance, to wit, approximately 183.63 milligrams (996 opens) of Lymergia Acid Diethylands (LEB).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B).) (Title 18, United States Code, Section 2)

USA-33s-530A - IND/INF - Possession With Intent To Distribute Rev. - 5-27-72 Controlled Substance (Succeeding Count)

Dans, II: agt

COUNT

On or about the 16th day of April, 1574

NAME FIXTH AND AND AND AND AND AND ADDRESS OF REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF A PARTY AND ADDR

the defendants, unlawfully, intentionally and knowingly did possess with intent to distribute a Schedule I controlled substance, to wit, presidently 177.9 milligrams (1000 space) of Lycongie Acid Distribute(LSD).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B).) (Title 18, Build States Code, Section 2)

愈

A 10

USA-33s-529A - IND/INF - Distrib.-Possess Controlled Substance Rev. 5-27-72 (Succeeding Count)

Dilk, II: art

COUNT

The Grand Jury Scriber charges:

On or about the 160% day of 40011, 1974 in the Southern District of New York,

PREDERIC GLEIN and ADGLER RIVERA

the defendants, unlawfully, intentionally and knowingly did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a Schedule I controlled substance, to wit, appearantly 1,085 milligrams (30% specs) of lawerage Acid Diethylamide (LSD).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B).) (Title 18, Paited States Code, Section 2)

Peranan

TABL J. CUREAN Saited States Attorney

in New York.

Q

	rklk O'Connor - Direct 29
2	
3	year?
4	A Yes, sir, I Was.
5	Q You were the agent involved in the negotiations
6	and subsequent arrest of this diendart and others with
7	respect to a violation of the narcotics laws; is that correct?
8	A Yes, sir, I was.
9	Q Did you participate in the arrest of the defendant
10	at Manjos?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Approximately what time was that?
13	A Approximately 11:40 p.m.
14	Q Was the defendant taken outside the restaurant by
15	Agent Salvemini?
16	A Yes, sir, he was.
17	Q What happened there?
18	A At that time Agent Salvemini read a DEAl3A to Mr.
19	Glennand Mr. Rivera separately.
20	Q Does the DEAl3A contain advice of rights?
21	A Yes, it does.
2	Q Did Agent Salvemini inform the defendant that he
3	had a right to a lawyer?
4	A Yes, he did.

Did the defendant ask for a lawyer?

1	rklk	O'Connor - Direct	30
2	A Not at tha	at time.	
3	Q Where was	he the next time you saw	the defendant
4	that evening?		
5	A It would h	be the following morning,	approximately
6	12:15, 12:30 at West	57th Street, our DEA hea	dquarters here
7	in New York.		
8	Q Were you	present or did you observ	e the defendant
9	being placed in a car	r and driven away from Ma	njos?
10	A No, sir.		
11	Q You saw h	im approximately an hour	later at DEA
12	headquarters?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q What did	you see?	
15	A At that to	ime I was just being inte	rviewed by
16	Agent Bell and Crade:	ra in regards to a 202, a	personal
17	history.		
18	Q Did he at	that time ask to see a l	awyer?
19	A (No respon	nse.)	
20	Q Did there	come a time when you too	k the defendant
21	from DEA headquarter	s to West Street?	
22	A Yes.		
23	Q Approxima	tely what time was that?	
24	λ We left D	EA headquarters approxima	tely one o'clock
25	in the morning and w	e had a little trouble so	to speak getting

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	rid of the prisoners We want to Want to
	rid of the prisoners. We went to West Street the first time
	They could only accept oneprisoner at the time and Mr. Rivera
-	and Mr. Glennwished they could stay together, so at that
-	time the people at West Street asked us if we could take
	them to the Tombs. We took them to the Tombs and the
	people there said they couldn't take them. We went back to
	West Street and finally they were able to take them. That
-	was approximately 3:15, 3:30 in the morning.
-	Q Is it correct to say in the car during that time

Q Is it correct to say in the car during that time the defendants Rivera and Glenn and you and Agent Bell were in the car?

A Yes.

Q Anyone else?

A No.

Q Did you interrogate the defendant at any time in the car?

A No.

Q Did he ask for a lawyer at any time in the car?

A No, sir.

Q After you dropped the defendant off at West Street, when is the next time you saw him:

A Approximately ten o'clock that same morning when we picked him up at West Street.

Q What did you do?

1	rklk	O'Connor - Direct 32
2	A	We took him down to the Southern District,
3	explaining	to him what would be happening, what would take
4	place.	
5	Q	Did you bring him to the office of Assistant
6	United Stat	tes Attorney Nessland?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Prior to bringing him to the office of the Assistant
9	U.S. Attor	ney, did he ask to see a lawyer?
10	A	No, sir, not at all.
11	Q	Did he ask to make a phone call?
12	A	Not on the way down to Southern District.
13	Q	When you were in the United States Courthouse,
14	did he ask	to make a phone call?
15	A	Yes, he did.
16	Q	Did he make a phone call?
17	A	Yes, in my presence.
18	Q	To whom did he ask to make a phone call?
19	A	To an acquaintance of his so the acquaintance
20	would call	his boss and tell him he had to go to Puerto
21	Rico.	
22	Q	Was he permitted to make that phone call?
23	A	Yes, he did so in my presence.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

Q Did he ask at that time or any other time to be

24

1	rklk		O'Connor - Direct/Cross	33
2		A	No, not at all.	
3	CROSS	-EXAM	MINATION	
4	BY MR	. GRE	EENBERG:	
5		Q	Agent O'Connor, were you present when Mr. R	livera
6	was q		oned in DEA headquarters?	
7		A	Only to the point for his personal history,	а
8	202.			
9		Q	You heard the 202, the questions asked off t	he
10	forma			
11		A	Yes, sir, I was there.	
12		Q	Who was questioning him?	
13		A	Agent Bell and Agent Cradera.	
14		Q	Did they go into the facts of the case?	
15		Λ	No, sir.	
16		Q	Why not?	
17		A	A 202 doesn't go into the facts of the case.	
18		Q	Did you hear any other conversation?	
19			No, sir. During the course of the evening,	T
20	was ru		g around. I was processing the evidence.	
21			Did you hear Agent Bell ask Mr. Rivera about	SOMe
22	of the		is of the case?	. Some
23				

A No, sir, not at all.

24

25

Q Did you hear Mr. Rivera tell Agent Bell that he didn't want to say anything until he spoke to a lawyer?

Did you ever see them together in DEA headquarters?

At any time did they make a request of you or

In the back seat.

Agent Bell to make a phone call?

24

A 19

		A 19
1	rklk	O'Connor - Cross
2	Α	Not when we were driving them to West Street.
3	Q	Never mentioned a phone call?
4	Ą	Never mentioned a phone call.
5	Q	How about when you were driving them from West
6	Street to	the Tombs?
7	A	No, sir.
8	Q	From the Tombs back to West Street?
9	А	No, sir.
10	Q	Never mentioned it?
11	A	No, sir.
12	Q	Did you have any conversation at all with either
13	one of the	ese gentlemen?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	What were you discussing?
16	. А	Approximately what would happen the following day,
17	what time	we would be by to pick them up, etcetera.
18	Q	Did you tell them what was going to happen that
19	day?	
20	Λ	Yes.
21	Q	What was that?
22	A	At approximately this is when we picked them
23	up in the	morning. I informed Mr. Glenn
24		MR. BELLER: Could Mr. Greenberg place the time to
25	which his	question relates.

H MAN

that time?

noon.

	38
2	A No, sir. The only discussion we really had with
3	him, we told him he would be brought before a U.S. Attorney
4	They would be interviewed again like they were last night,
5	they would be brought before a U.S. Magistrate who would se
6	bail, etcetera, etcetera.
7	Q Did you tell them they could have a lawyer?
8	A We told them if they could not afford a lawyer,
9	the court would assign one as stated in the DEAl3A.
10	Q Did you ask them whether they had a lawyer in
1	fact?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q Did you ever ask them whether they would like to
4	make a phone call?
5	A No, sir.
6	Q Did they ever ask you that morning to make a
7	phone call?
8	A Mr. Rivera did and that was to an acquaintance
9	to call his employer.
0 .	Q Were you there when this phone call was made?
1	Λ Yes.
2	Q What time was that phone call made?
3	A I don't recall, but to the best of my knowledge,
4	I would say it was around 12:30, one o'clock in the after-

		A 22	
1	rklk	O'Connor - Cross	39
2	0	Did you overhear the pone call?	
3	Λ	It was right next to me.	
4	Q	Do you know the name of the person he c	alled?
5	A	No, sir, I don't recall.	
6		MR. BELLER: I object. I don't see wha	t this is
7	relevant	to at all.	
8		T.E. COURT: Sustained.	
9	Q	You heard the conversation on the phone	?
10	A	Basically, yes.	
11	Q	And you testified that that conversation	n was
12	that tell	my employer that I had to go to Puerto R	ico?
13	1	Yes, sir.	
14	Q	Did you also hear that he asked that per	rson to
15	contact Bi	illy Tobias and to get a lawyer?	
16	A	No, sir.	
17	Q	You are sure?	
18	А	No, sir.	
19	Q	You don't remember that?	
20	A	I don't recall.	
21		THE COURT: Are we talking about this de	efendant or
22	somebody e		
23		ME. GREENBERG: This defendant, Your Hor	nor.
24	Q	You don't remember any conversation about	
25	lawyer on	that phone?	

the name of the person?

	7 21
1	rklk O'Connor - Cross 41
2	A No, sir, I don't recall.
3	Q If I may refresh your recollection, a Raphael
4	Bencid, would that refresh your recollection?
5	A I still don't recall, sir.
6	Q Did you make any notes at any time that reflected
7	that Mr. Rivera made a phone call?
.8	A No, sir, I just remembered because he asked me
9	explicitly.
10	Q And you remember specifically what he said as far
11	as telling his boss that he went to Puerto Rico?
12	A He asked me if he could make a call to an
13	acquaintance to tell his employer that he was suddenly called
14	to Puerto Rico and wouldn't be at work.
15	Q Mr. Glenn was present with Mr. Rivera all this
16	time you were with Mr. Rivera; is that correct?
17	A No, sir.
18	Q It's not correct?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q When were they apart?
21	A When Mr. Rivera made the phone call.
22	Q Prior to that, they were together?
23	A With all five defendants.
24	Q Did Mr. Glenn ever ask you to make a phone call?

No, sir.

2000.0000000000000000000000000000000000	
1	rklk O'Connor - Cross 42
2	MR. BELLER: Objection.
3	THE COURT: Yes. Let's not ask this witness
4	about other defendants.
5	Q And it's your testimony, Agent O'Connor, the only
6	time Mr. Rivera asked you for a phone call was approximately
7	twelve, 12:30 in the U.S. Attorney's office?
8	A As I stated, 12:30 to one o'clock that afternoon.
9	Q What time did Mr. Rivera go into the U.S.
10	Attorney's office?
11	A I don't recall.
12	Q Were you present in the U.S Attorney's office?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q Would it be approximately about 1:10 in the
15	afternoon?
16	MR. BELLER: Objection. He doesn't know.
17	Q It was after the phone call; is that not correct?
18	A I don't recall, sir. I am sorry. It was before
19	he went to see the U.S. Attorney as I stated.
20	Q And that was approximately 13 hours after his
21	arrest?
22	A Yes, sir, approximately twelve and a half to
23	thirteen hours.
24	Q Were you present at the Magistrate's court when
00	

Mr. Rivera and the other defendants were arraigned?

1	rklk	O'Connor - Cross	43
2	A	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	Was Mr. Rivera assigned counsel at that time	me?
4	A	I don't recall.	
5	ū	Do you know what time Mr. Rivera went before	re ·
6	the magistrate?		
7	A	No, sir, I don't recall.	
8,	Q	Would it be approximately 3:30?	
9	4.00	MR. BELLER: Objection.	
10		THE COURT: He says he doesn't recall.	
11	Q	Mr. O'connor, did you process the defendant	s
12	after bail	was set in the Magistrate's court?	
13	Α	No, sir, that is not done.	
14	Q	You didn't take them down to be fingerprint	ed and
15	photographe	ed?	
16	A	I took them down to the U.S. Marshal's offi	ce at
17	this time.	They were turned over to the custody of the	ne
18	United Stat	tes Marshals.	
19	Q	Did you see Mr. Rivera again that day?	
20		MR. BELLER: I object. I don't see what th	is has
21	to do with	the statement given approximately a hour be	fore.
22		THE COURT: Yes.	
23	. 65	MR. GREENBERG: I believe this is relevant.	I was
24	assigned by	the magistrate to represent Mr. Rivera abo	ut
25	3:40 in the	afternoon and I was assigned in open court	

O'Connor - Cross

Subsequent to my being assigned and Mr. Rivera
being released on bail, the agents went to Mr. Rivera's
apartment without prior consent of me who was Mr. Rivera's

attorney and I think that was an overstating of the authority of these agents and I think it bears on the general way in

which the statements were taken and shows how the agents

acted and the totality of the circumstances of this arrest.

It's my information at Mr. O'Connor about two o'clock the following morning called or knocked on the door of Mr. Rivera's apartment without the consent of Mr. Rivera, nor of my consent, and I was his attorney, and I think this has bearing.

THE COURT: Was a statement taken from him at that time?

MR. GREENBERG: No, Your Honor, but I think it does have relevancy as to the credibility of this witness and of the acts of the Drug Enforcement Administration special agent.

THE COURT: You are raising a sixth amendment question now, I gather, is that it?

MR. GREENBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you raise that in your motion?

MR. GREENBERG: No. I just think it has some

bearing as to the general credibility of this agent and how

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

the statements were taken and I think it does have bearing on this hearing.

THE COURT: What do you say, Mr. Beller?

MR. BELLER: I don't know what is coming. We really don't have any objection to going ahead a little further, but I frankly don't believe it will be relevant at all. If you want to limit it to this witness, all right, but that the DEA is on trial here, the Government would take objection to that.

THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. GREENBERG:

Q Did you, Agent O'Connor, go back to Mr. Rivera and Mr. Glenn's apartment at two o'clock in the morning, the following morning?

THE COURT: Following their release on bail?
MR. GREENBERG: Yes.

Q Following their release on bail?

A I am not sure of the time, but we did go back, sir.

Q At that time, did you have a warrant to go back to that apartment?

A No, sir.

Q Did you make any attempts to contact Mr. Rivera's lawyer, myself, before you went back to his apartment?

surveillance. We were hoping Mr. Glenn would be able to tell

he received the initial package from. We were doing

MR. BELLER: I just have two further questions.

THE COURT: All right.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BELLER:

22

23

24

	H 31		
1	rklk O'Connor - Redirect/Recross 48		
2	Q You knew at that time, didn't you, that Mr.		
3	Rivera had already given a statement to AUSA Nessland?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q Is it not customary to handcuff an individual aft	e	
6	he has been arrested?		
7	A Yes, sir.		
8	RECROSS-EXAMINATION		
9	BY MR. GREENBERG:		
10	Q Was Kenneth Myerson handcuffed in your presence?		
11	A Yes, sir.		
12	Q Was Kenneth Myerson arrested and processed on the		
13	night that Mr. Rivera was arrested?		
14	MR. BELLER: Objection.		
15	THE COURT: What is the relevancy of that?		
16	MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor, it's my information		
17	Kenneth Myerson who was arrested and who is a co-defendant		
18	here was released by the agents the same night as his arrest		
19	and told to return the next day. He was never handcuffed		
20	and never formally arrested and spent the night at home.		
21	Mr. Riveraon the other hand was arrested, hand-		
22	cuffed for a period of perhaps twelve hours before he made		
23	any statement and I think that has bearing, certainly upon		
24			
-	the state of mind of Mr. Rivera.		

THE COURT: I don't follow. It has bearing on

the state of mind of Mr. Rivera that somebody else was let go and he was arrested?

MR. GREENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. It's my information that Mr. Myerson was cooperating with the agents and because of his cooperation, he did not have to spend the night in jail and he was not handcuffed. At least Mr. Rivera tells me that, he was not handcuffed and I would just like to confirm that with Mr. O'Connor. He says that it's standard procedure to have all prisoners handcuffed.

Mr. Myerson was certainly placed under arrest and it's my information he was never handcuffed.

MR. BELLER: Objection. He never said it's the practice to handcuff all prisoners. I asked him a general question. There are exceptions, particularly when a defendant is a cooperating defendant and has led the agents to a source.

THE COURT: Let him answer the question so we could go on.

- A Was Mr. Myerson handcuffed when he was arrested?
- Q Yes.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Was he handcuffed when he was brought to the U.S. Attorney's office?
- A Yes, sir -- I take that back. I didn't bring him to the U.S. Attorney's office.

him as well or arrange to have him photographed?

What is that form?

Yes, that is my signature on the third page.

It's an interview form prior to arraignment by a

23

24

25

A

1	rklk Greenan - Direct 53
2	United States Attorney.
3	Q Is there a date on top?
4	A Yes. The date is April 17, 1974.
5	Q Does the form indicate what time the interview
6	began?
7	A Approximately 1:10 p.m.
8	Q To your knowledge, is that the first interview by
9	Mr. Nessland with respect to this case?
10	A Yes, it is.
11	Q That was the first interview?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Were you present when Mr. Nessland interviewed
14	Kenneth Myerson?
15	A There were five defendants arraigned in the
16	morning. I believe Heather Campbell, another special agent
17	was in on one of the interviews. I believe I was in on
18	Kenneth Myerson's interview.
19	Q Was he interviewed by Mr. Nessland?
20	A Yes.
21	Q If I show this form, does it refresh your recoll-
22	ection that Mr. Myers n was interviewed at 10:45?
23	A That is correct.
24	THE COURT: What time was the defendant interviewed?
25	MR. BELLER: He was interviewed at 1:10.

the right to have a lawyer present during the interview?

Yes, he did.

A

24

- 11				
1	rklk		Greenan - Direct 5	5
2		Ω	If he could not afford a lawyer, that he ha	d a
3	right	to ha	ave a lawyer appointed by the court and that	the
4	interv	view o	could not proceed until the lawyer was there	?
5		Α	Yes, he did.	
6		Q	Did Mr. Nessland ask Mr. Rivera whether he	under-
7	stood	that	right?	
8		Α	Yes, he did.	
9		Q	What did the defendant state in response to	that
10	quest	ion?		
11		А	That he did.	
12		Q	At that point, did Mr. Nessland ask Mr. Riv	rera
13	whethe	er, und	derstanding his rights as he had been advise	d of
14	them,	he w	ished to tell Mr. Nessland something about h	nis
15	backg	round	and about his version of the facts in the	ase.
16		Q	Yes. /	
17		Q	And you remember that from your memory; is	that
18	corre	ct?		
19		Α	That is correct.	
20		Q	Did the defendant balk in any way at giving	Mr.
21	Nessl	and w	hatever information he wanted?	
22		A	No, he did not. He responded in a very pos	sitive
23	manne	r.		
24		Q	What do you mean by that?	
25		A	He was very emphatic in what he was saying.	. Не

1	rklk Greenan - Direct 56
2	mkept on telling Mr. Nessland about Fred Glenn had him do.
3	Q Did he tell Mr. Nessland that he had been trying
4	to reach a lawyer since the moment of his arrest and that he
5	had been refused that right?
6	A Not to my knowledge.
7	Q Did he say to Mr. Nessland or to you that he had
8	been harrassed?
9	A No.
10	Q Did he say he had been poorly treated?
11	- A No.
12	Q Did he give a full statement to Mr. Nessland that
13	was in essense incriminating?
14	A Yes, he did. He didn't leave off that that was
15	all the narcotics business he had ever transacted, but he
16	did tell what he knew most about Fred Glenn.
17	Q When the statement was completed and Mr. Nessland
18	finished writing down what the defendant said, did Mr.
19	Nessland sign the statement?
20	A Yes, he did.
21	Q Did you sign the statement? .
22	A Yes, I did.
23	Q Did the defendant sign the statement?
24	A Yes, he did.
25	Q Did he do so reluctantly?

about his pedigree?

1	rklk	Greenan - Cross	58
2	A	No, I don't.	
3	Q	Do you remember Mr. Rivera saying he	didn't want
4	to answer	any questions about the case until he	spoke to a
5	lawyer?		
6	A	No, I do not.	
7	Q	When you fingerprinted Mr. Rivera, d	id you tell
8	him that	he should cooperate with the DEA?	
9	A	I tried to introduce myself to Mr. R	ivera, but he
10	didn't re	spond to any question or any statement	or anything.
1.	Q	He never asked you to make a phone of	al1?
12	λ	No.	
13	Q	Did he ever inquire when he would be	allowed to mak
14	a phone c	a11?	
15	ν	He asked me no questions.	-
16	Q	How about Mr. Glenn, did he ever ask	you whether
17	he could	make a phone call in Mr. Rivera's pres	sence?
18		MR. BELLER: Objection.	
19		THE COURT: Sustained.	
20	Q	Did you ever tell Mr. Rivera that is	he cooperated
21	he would	n't have to spend the night in jail?	
22	A	No, I did not.	
23	Q	Did you ever tell that to any perso	n you arrested?

THE COURT: What does that have to do with the

MR. BELLER: Objection.

21

22

23

24

25

defendant if he told it to somebody else?

MR. CREENBERG: I would think it goes to his credibility whether he ever made that statement to any person he ever processed.

. THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. GREENBERG:

- Did you ever tell "r. Rivera that it would be easier for him if he cooperated?
 - A No, I did not.
- Q You gave Mr. Rivera your phone number, did you not?
- A I believe I gave it to him after he made his statement.

THE COURT: What statement are you talking about, the one in the DEA office or the one in Mr. Nessland's office?

THE WITNESS: The one in Mr. Messland's office.

- Q You don't remember telling Mr. Rivera if he cooperated, he wouldn't have to spend another night in jail?
 - A No, I do not.
- Q Mr. Rivera, you testified, was interviewed in Mr. Nessland's office at 1:10 in the afternoon?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q Do you know what time he was presented before the

W.	
1	rklk Greenan - Cross/Rivera - Direct 60
2	Magistrate?
3	A I believe sometime around 3, 3:30.
4	Q At that time, Mr. Rivera was assigned counsel,
5	I was assigned to represent him; do you remember that?
6	A No, I do not.
7	Q You were the one that swore out the complaint in
8	the magistrate's office.
9	Q Yes, that is right.
10	MR. GREENBERG: No further questions.
11	MR. BELLER: No further questions.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	MR. BELLER: Government rests.
15	MR. GREENBERG: I call the defendant Adolph
16	Rivera to the stand.
17	ADOLPH RIVERA, called as a witness,
18	having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified
19	as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. GREENBERG:
22	Q What is your full name?
23	A Adolph Rivera.
24	Q What do your friends call you?
25	A Ritchie.

23

24

25

1 95 2 THE COURT: Thank you, you may come down. 3 (Witness excused.) MR. GREENBERG: Defense rests. 4 5 THE COURT: Anything further from the Government? 6 MR. BELLER: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: It seems to me, Mr. Greenberg, what 8 occured here, is that the defendant was given his rights 9 by Mr. Nessland before he made the statement, isn't that so? 10 MR. GREENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: He just made a telephone call, accord-12 ing to his own statement, isn't that so? 13 MR. GREENBERG: That is correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: And he understood his right to remain 15 silent, did he? 16 MR. GREENBERG: He did. 17 THE COURT: That he had a right to have a lawyer 18 present or could try to get one, isn't that so? 19 MR. GREENBERG: Yes. 20 THE COURT: I don't understand what your claim is. 21 MR. GREENBERG: My claim is, Your Honor, that under the totality of the circumstances, notwithstanding, as

Your Honor has pointed out that he was given permission to

make a phone call around 12:10 in the afternoon and notwith-

standing the fact that when he made the statement to Mr.

Nessland he had been advised of his rights; that by then,
he had been effectively denied of his right to counsel many
times previous when he was originally arrested and taken --

THE COURT: The evidence shows, doesn't it, he was not only advised by the agents that he shouldn't say anything until he saw a lawyer, but also by Mr. Glenn; wasn't that Mr. Glenn's testimony?

MR. GREENBERG: That is correct, Your Honor.

As a matter of fact, when he spoke with Agent Bell, the first agent to really interrogate him, he told Agent Bell, without speaking to Mr. Glenn or anyone else, according to Mr. Bell's testimony, that he didn't think he should speak with anyone until he spoke with a lawyer or had some legal advice.

THE COURT: That is the issue, isn't it, whether the defendant was advised and understood his right, isn't that what this is all about?

MR. GREENBERG: That is correct, but we maintain he made repeated attempts to get someone to advise him of what he should do, a lawyer. That he requested repeatedly from vaiours authorities whether agents or correction authority people to talk to a lawyer and he was denied that request at each and every stage of the proceeding.

THE COURT: But he made no statement at that point,

He didn't make any incriminating statement until he saw

Mr. Nessland after having been advised of his rights and

after having called someone to get a lawyer; isn't that so?

MR. GREENBERG: That is correct.

THE COURT: He didn't testify that he told Mr.

Nessland, "My lawyer is on the way and I would like to

wait until he gets here"; did he?

MR. GREENBERG: No.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

We have to set a date for trial.

MR. GREENBERG: May I just add one thing further, Your Honor.

It seems to me that Mr. Rivera upon arrest at 11:30 or so on one night and not being presented before the magistrate --

THE COURT: You didn't make that motion, I will not hear it. You made a motion to suppress a statement that was just denied. I will not hear a motion that you didn't make.

MR. GREENBERG: I believe within my motion papers
I do allege that he was arrested in the early morning hours
and in my motion papers on page 2, it says he was taken
before Magistrate Goettel at 3:40 p.m. and I believe it's
part of my motion papers and I maintain that is an unduly

1	rklk	97a
2	long period of time before he is arraigned.	
3	THE COURT: You submit a brief on that.	
4	MR. GREENBERG: I will, YOur Honor.	
5	THE COURT: The trial will be Wednesday,	December
6	at ten o'clock, room 618.	
7		
8		
9		
10	* * *	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	jhbr
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	х
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
6	v. :
7	ADOLPH RIVERA, : 74 Criminal 675
8	Defendant. :
9	x
10	Before:
11	HON. CONSTANCE B. MOTLEY,
12	District Judge
13	New York, December 15, 1975
14	Room 1515 - 10 a.m.
15	APPEARANCES
16	THOMAS J. CAHILL, Esq.,
17	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York,
18	By: ALLEN BENTLEY, Esq., Assistant United States Attorney.
19	DANIEL STEINGACH, Esq.,
20	Attorney for Defendant
21	
22	
23	
24	

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(Case called.)

MR. BENTLEY: The government is ready.

MR. STEINHACH: The defendant is ready.

THE COURT: Mr. Steinbach, did youhave some witnesses you wanted to put on?

MR.STEINBACH: No. I believe Mr. Bentley may have, though.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BENTLEY: The government would call

Michael O'Connor.

MICHAEL W. O'CONNOR, called as

a witness on behalf of the government, having been

first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BENTLEY:

Q Mr. O'Connor, please keep your voice up so that the people seated toward the rear of the courtroom can hear you.

Mr. O'Connor, by whom are you employed?

A The Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration.

Q What position do you hold with the Drug Enforcement Administration?

A Special Agent.

25

22

23

24

jhbr

O'Connor-direct

3

- Q Directing your attention to April 16, 1974, at approximately 11:20 p.m., were you on duty at that time?
 - A Yes, I was.
 - Q What, if anything, did you do?
- A Myself and a defendant who agreed to cooperate with the government by the name of Kenneth Meyerson arrived at Manjo's Restaurant located at 33 7th Avenue,

 New York City.
 - Q When had you met Meyerson?
 - A Earlier that evening.
 - Q Under what circumstances had you met Meyerson?
- A Mr. Meyerson conspired to deliver 5,000 dots of LSD to me.

THE COURT: Mr. Meyerson did what?

THE WITNESS: Conspired to deliver 5,000

dosage units of LSD.

THE COURT: You mean agreed?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q What had happened with respect to Mr. Meyerson when you originally made contact with him?
- A Mr. Meyerson was placed under arrest and was taken to New York Regional Headquarters, where at that time he agreed to cooperate with the government.
 - Q What form did his cooperation take?

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A He placed a telephone call to a Mr. Frederick

Glenn and arrangements were made for Mr. Glenn to deliver

5,000 dosage units of LSD to myself at that previously

mentioned restaurant.

Q Based on your investigation, please tell us what role Meyerson was playing in these LSD transactions with relation to the other defendants in this case.

MR. STEINBACH: Objection, your Honor, unless what he is about to answer relates to his information at that time, that is, if he now knows of a role that Meyerson was playing which he didn't know at the time, it would be irrelevant to his probable cause at the time he made the arrest of Mr. Rivera.

THE COURT: Let me hear the question, Mr. Reporter (Question read.)

THE COURT: You are asking him what role
Kenneth Meyerson was playing with respect to the instant
indictment?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Overruled.

Q You may answer, sir.

A Mr. Meyerson was receiving the LSD from
Mr. Glenn, in turn he would give it to Mark Fisher, who in
turn gave it to me.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I thought you meant he was acting as an informer for the government in this case. Is that it?

MR. BENTLEY: No, your Honor. I am trying to place Mr. Meyerson with respect to the other defendants in the indictment so the Court can see how the investigation developed following Meyerson's arrest.

THE COURT: As I understood the witness,
he testified that he met Kenneth Meyerson at Manjo's
Restaurant, where Meyerson delivered a quantity of LSD.
He was arrested and agreed to cooperate with the government.

Is that your testimony?

THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: I misunderstood them.

MR. BENTLEY: It may be confusing in the way I approached the subject, your Honor.

- Q At what location was Mr. Meyerson arrested?
- A At the El Tor Restaurant located in Greenwich Village.
 - Q At what hour, approximately?
 - A At approximately 7 o'clock that evening.
 - Q Who was with him at that time?
 - A Mark Fisher.
 - Q Who were you dealing with directly at that time?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mark Fisher.

THE COURT: Was that his prior testimony?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor, I believe that

is his prior testimony.

THE COURT: This just now?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

Following the arrest of Fisher and Meyerson what happened?

After being taken back to the New York Regional Headquarters, where they were processed and everything else, Mr. Meyerson agreed to cooperate with the government and he gave up the source of supply for the 5,000 units, dosage units of LSD.

At this time Mr. Meyerson placed a telephone call to Mr. Frederick Glenn and made arrangements for Mr. Glenn to deliver the additional 5,000 dosage units of LSD to myself and Mr. Meyerson at Manjo's Restaurant.

THE COURT: Were you asked the question to which Mr. Steinbach objected, as to what role Meyerson was playing, I thought you expected the witness to say at that point he was acting as an informer or working with the government, but instead of that he told us that certain people delivered --

MR. BENTLEY: I am sorry. That would have

been a proper answer. However, I am interested in developing through this witness the relationship and the flow
of the LSD and the nature of the investigation which proceeded
back toward the source of the LSD.

MR. STEINBACH: Your Honor, I would object to that kind of inquiry. I think the only thing that is relevant is what Mr. O'Connor or the other agents knew at the time that they placed Mr. Rivera under arrest in terms of determining probable cause for that arrest.

THE COURT: Are you leading up to that?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Probable cause for the arrest of this defendant.

MR. BENTLEY: Absolutely.

THE COURT: All right.

Q Agent O'Connor, what happened when you arrived at Manjo's Restaurant?

A Kenneth Meyerson and myself, we entered the restaurant, proceeded to about the middle of the restaurant, and we seated ourselves at a booth. I was seated with my back to the door and Kenneth Meyerson was facing the door.

- Q Which side of the restaurant was the booth on?
- A On the left-hand side.

1	jhbr	A 55 o'connor-direct	8
2	Q	As you entered the restaurant?	
3	A	As yes.	
4	Q	And what did you do after seat	ing yourselves
5	in the boot	h?	
6	A	At the time after seating ours	elves in the booth
7	we ordered	some food.	
8	Q	What happened thereafter?	
9	A	Approximately 11:30 p.m. Mr.	Meyerson stated
10	that his pe	eople were here, and at this time	me I turned and he
11	pointed out	t Mr. Glenn and Mr. Rivera.	
12	Q	Do you see Mr. Rivera here in	court?
13	A	Yes, I do. Mr. Rivera is s	eated at the
14	defense ta	ble next to counsel.	
15		MR. STEINBACH: Conceded th	at he has identified
16	Mr. Rivera		
17	Q	What happened when Rivera and	Glenn entered the
18	restaurant		
19	A	They proceeded to your booth	and they joined
20	us, with	Mr. Rivera sitting to my right	and Mr. Glenn
21	sitting to	o Mr. Meyerson's left.	
22	0	Would it be fair to say Mr.	Rivera was sitting
23	on the ai		
24	A	Yes, Mr. Glenn and Mr. River	a were sitting on th

aisle.

1	jhbr	O'Connor-direct	9
2	. 0	They were sitting across from each	other?
3	A	Yes.	
4		THE COURT: This was Manjo's, is t	hat it?
5		THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	
6		THE COURT: When was that?	
7		THE WITNESS: Approximately 11:30 p	.m
8		THE COURT: What date?	
9		THE WITNESS: April 16th.	+
10	Q	Did you notice anything abnormal ab	out Rivera's
11	appearance		
12	A	No.	
13	Q	W'at happened after Rivera and Gler	n sat down
14	at your tal	ble?	
15	A	Mr. Meyerson introduced myself to	the two of them
16	as the ind	ividual who was interested in purchas	ing the
17	additional	stuff that Mr. Meyerson used. At	this time
18	Mr. Glenn	turned to Mr. Meyerson and asked when	re the money
19	was.		
20	Q	What money was that, sir?	
21	A	That was the money for the first 5	,000 that
22	was report	edly sold earlier that evening to my	self.
23	Q	What did you say in response to the	t question?
24	Λ	At that time I informed Mr. Glenn	that I had the
25	money and	that I was going to keep it until the	additiona?

24

25

Did Mr. Rivera by words or action indicate that he understood and agreed to this proposal?

10

MR. STEINBACH: Objection. It is a leading I think he should ask him just what Mr. Rivera question.

1	jhbr O'Connor-direct 11
2	did.
3	THE COURT: Yes. What was said, what did he do?
4	A At the time when I asked all the participants
5	if they agreed to it I turned to Mr. Rivera and he nodded
6	his head in agreement.
7	Q Did you then proceed to conduct this transaction
8	along the lines that you had proposed?
9	A Yes, I did.
10	Q Tell the Court what you did.
11	A I took out the OAF, the official advance funds,
12	which I had and I counted out \$1800, and during the time
14	I was counting out \$1800 I would watch I would look at
14	Mr. Rivera to be sure he was counting and he was watching
15	me count the money.
16	Q How did you count it, physically where did you
17	hold it as you were counting it?
18	A I held it down to my right side. It was
19	in between myself and Mr. Rivera.
20	Q Was that above or beneath the level of the table
21	top?
22	A Beneath the table top.
23	Q What, if anything, did Rivera do after you
24	completed counting out the money?
25	A At that time he just turned his head and

MR. BENTLEY: No further questions.

22

23

24

25

happened?

arrest.

Mr. Glenn and Mr. Rivera were placed under

. 3

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STEINBACH:

Q Mr. O'Connor, did you make reports, DEA6 reports, of this incident?

A Yes.

Q Do you have them with you here in court?

A I do not.

MR. STEINBACH: I would inquire at this time whether Mr. Bentley has them.

MR. BENTLEY: I do have them.

MR.STEINBACH: Your Honor, I would request to see Mr. O'Connor's report of the events which he just testified to of April 16, 1974.

MR. BENTLEY: If the Court askes me to, I will be happy to furnish it to counsel. On reviewing Section 3500, it specifically refers to trial testimony rather than suppression hearing testimony. But should the Court feel it is appropriate, I would be happy to give the report to counsel.

THE COURT: The statute does say after a witness has testified for the government, you can construe that to mean only when he has testified at a trial?

MR. BENTLEY: I believe it mentions trial specifically, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's see. 35(b) reads "After a witness called by the United States has testified on direct examination."

MR. BENTLEY: I was referring, your Honor, to 35(a), which states that no statement or report shall be a subject of discovery until such witness has testified on direct examination in the trial of the case.

THE COURT: I see. I believe, Mr. Steinbach, the Second Circuit has ruled on that and that it is limited to trial.

MR. STEINBACH: Very well, your Honor.

I think it is highly relevant, however, since this incident occurred over 1-1/2 years ago, and while Mr. O'Connor has testified I guess to what his recollection of that is,

I think in testing his credibility it is important to have that report. However, I will go on.

THE COURT: All right.

Q Did you read the report to refresh your recollection, the DEA6, to refresh your recollection before you testified here today?

A Yes, I did.

MR. STEINBACH: I now have another basis for requesting the statement, your Honor, that is, to see a statement that the witness used to refresh his

recollection, which I believe under the rules of evidence you can see at any time. Once a witness has refreshed his recollection with a statement in the course of his testimony or beforehand I would be able to see that.

MR. BENTLEY: I would agree if be refreshed his recollection on the witness stand. However, I don't believe it covers a pre-testimony use of such material, and I think as to that Section 3500 supersedes any other rules that might apply.

MR. STEINBACH: Your Honor, it can't really turn on whether he looked at it outside the door of the courtroom or whether he looked at the on the stand.

THE COURT: I do think that perhaps the rules provide for statutory exception.

MR. STEINBACH: Your Honor, I would refer you to Rule 612 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

THE COURT: Just a moment. 612, and I refer you for the reason that it does not support my position, it supports Mr. Bentley, since it provides an exception to seeing a writing used to refresh memory for writings which would come under Section 3500.

THE COURT: All right.

Q You got to the restaurant about what time that evening, Mr. O'Connor?

25

after they sat down?

After.

23

A My pocket.

you have the money in?

25

Was it in a wallet?

1	jhbr	O'Connor-cross 18
2	, A	My pocket.
3	Q	Just rolled up or
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	With a rubberband around it?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Loose?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	What kind of bills did you have?
10	A	American currency.
11	Q	What denomination?
12	A	I don't recall.
13	Q	Could it have been hundred dollar bills?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	What was the smallest denomination you had?
16	A	\$50.
17	Q	Do you remember whether you had many hundreds
18	or many fif	
19	A	I don't recall.
20	Q	You said that there was a signal which you
21		
22	is that cor:	and the other officers would move in to arrest,
23		
24 -	A	Yes.
25	0	And that was scratching your head?
	A	Yes.

Had you discussed this signal with your fellow officers before you sat down at the table with

In the course of your discussions with them was it agreed that they would arrest anybody who came in and sat down at the table with you once you gave the signal?

MR. STEINBACY: I have no further questions.

MR. BENTLEY: No redirect, your Honor.

THE COURT: At this time we will take a brief

THE COURT: All right. Does the government

MR. BENTLEY: Yes. The government calls

21

Were you seated or standing?

25

Q

at counsel table with the black suit.

23

24

25

Mr. Rivera.

Yes, sir. He is the black gentleman seated

MR. STEINBACH: Concede that he identified

- Q What did you observe them do at this table?
- A Mr. Rivera sat next to Special Agent O'Connor.

 This would be with their backs to the street. The other defendant, Mr. Glenn, sat on the other side of the table.
 - Q What happened?
- which I didn't overhear. I could see modding of heads and gesturing back and forth. And then Special Agent O'Connor took a quantity of government funds and he began to count it. He turned his body thusly, towards me, which would be also towards the defendant Rivera, and he began to count it, and at that time the defendant Rivera leaned forward and was looking right at Special Agent O'Connor's hands as he counted the government funds.
- Q How was Rivera oriented toward you as he was looking at the funds?
 - A He was turned towards Special Agent O'Connor.
- Q In other words, his back was toward you, more or less?
- A Well, I wouldn't say his back was towards me, because they were a little bit forward of me. So I would say the side of his body was turned towards me.
 - Q Could you see the money?
 - A Yes, sir, I could.

 Salvamini-direct
4

Q What happened after that?

A He was nodding his head a couple of times and subsequent to that Special Agent O'Connor handed the money across under the table.

Q Whom did he hand that money to?

A I believe he handed it to Defendant Glenn.

Q And then what happened?

A Special Agent O'Connor gave a prearranged signal, which was to run his fingers through his hair, and I walked over to the table, I took out my badge, and I advised Meyerson, Mr. Rivera and Mr. Glenn that they were under arrest for violation of the federal narcotics laws.

Q Prior to the arrest did you see Mr. Glenn do anything? After he had accepted the money under the table.

A Yes. He put the money in his pocket. I believe it was his back pocket.

Q Did you see him do anything else?

A Not that I can recall.

MR. BENTLEY. No further questions.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STEINBACH:

Q Mr. Salvamiri, I assume you made a DEA6 about the surveillance?

A My 6 is incorporated with the standard 6 that was

D

| "

.17

MR. BENTLEY: It looks more like about 25 to me.

MR. STEINBACH: Somewhere between 25 to 40.

Your Honor, with your permission, I will approach
the witness. I would like him to sketch out the relationship of the counter to the table.

THE COURT: All right.

A The table would have been over here. It was like sort of a booth type situation.

Q Why don't you just draw it without saying anything, so there won't be a record, and then I will ask you to describe it on the record.

A All right. I would be seated sort of like over here.

Q Would you show the counter?
Let's start over, if you don't mind.

A This would be the counter. It was an oval type thing, if I recall.

Q Just draw the counter and draw the table.

Don't draw any arrows, please.

A Ckay. I believe the counter was like this, I was on a corner stool, and the table was sort of like this.

Q Where were the seats? Show where the seats were.

-		A 73		
School or second	jhbr	Salvamini-cross	26	
-	A	The seat would be here and here.	In other	
-	words, I w	as looking this way.		
-	Q	I asked you not to draw any arrows		
	A	I am sorry. I was almost		
	Q	Would you indicate whether there	were any	
-	tables bet	ween		
	A	No. This was all an open area.		
	Q	This was the counter?		
	A	Yes. I would have been almost -	- this isn	ı't
	quite righ	t I would have been almost paral	lel to the	
	midline of	the table.		
	Q	Would you label counter on the di	agram and	tal

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agram and table on the table and would you pt an AR where you saw ... Mr. Rivera and an MO where you saw Mr. O'Connor and an FG where you saw Frederick Glenn and a KM where you saw Kenneth Meyerson.

- I am afraid art isn't one of my fortes.
- How highwas the table from the floor?
- The table?
- From the floor. Would you say it is as high as counsel table here in the courtroom?
 - Yes, I would say.
- How high was the booths, the back of the booths that they were sitting in?

		H. 14	
1	jhbr	Salvamini-cross 27	
2	, A	I would say up to the level of the individual's	
3	neck or so.		
4	Q	Up to the level of the neck.	
5		And do you remember what Mr. Rivera was	
6	wearing tha	t evening?	
7	A	Offhand, I don't. I know it was committed to the	-
8	reports.		
9	Q	Do you know whether you were wearing a coat that	
10	evening?		
11	A	I honestly don't.	
12	0	This was in April '74?	
13	A	Yes. I honestly don't remember what I was wear-	
14	ing.		
15	Q	And where would you say Mr. O'Connor's hands were	-
16	when he was	counting the money?	
17	A	Between himself and Mr. Rivera.	
18	Q	And were they above the table height or below it?	
19	A	No, no, they were below the table.	
20	Q	Below the table?	-
21	A	From the position I was in I was able to look	
22	right at hi	lm.	
23	Q	You were facing the table the whole time this	
24 -	was going or	1?	
25	A	In other words, I was facing the way I am now	-

2

3

5

6

23

24

25

Defendants meaning anybody who arrived to sit down at the table?

Defendants meaning anybody that I perceived

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-	7977	-
- 5	-	-

to have been a participant in a narcotics transaction.

Salvamini-cros

When you saw Mr. O'Connor run his fingers through his hair who did you go up and apprehend?

What I did was I walked right up to the table and I stated to the three individuals at the table that were not federal narcotics officers that I was a federal narcotics agent and they were under arrest.

At that time were there any other officers who approached the table with you?

Yes, there were.

Who were they?

I believe Special Agent Greenan and Special Agent Keckler was the other.

Did they approach the table the same time you did?

I wasn't watching them. I was watching the defendants. They got there pretty much simultaneously.

Did you ever place your hands on any of the to take them out of the booth? defendants

I don't think so. It was a pretty quiet sort of situation.

Did you handcuff any of the defendants?

I think I did, but I am not certain. A MR. BENTLEY: Objection to this type of

And he was removing the bills from the pile and

	jhb
	the

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sa	lva	min	i-	cr	OS	S

the money, Mr. O'Connor was counting the money?

- A He was watching Mr. Rivera.
- 0 Was watching who?
- A Mr. Rivera.
- Q You mean his head was faced towards Mr. Rivera, is that correct?
 - A That's what you asked.
- Q You couldn't see his eyes then, could you,
 Mr. Glenn's eyes?
 - A Absolutely not.
- While Mr. O'Connor was counting the money was he looking down towards his hands?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. STEINBACH: I have no furthe questions.

Your Honor, at this point I would like this to be marked for identification as Defendants' Exhibit A.

(Defendants' Exhibit A was marked for identification.)

MR.STEINBACH: I would show it to Agent Salvamini.

- Q Is that the diagram you just drew in response to my questions?
 - A Right.

MR. STEINBACH: I would offer it into evidence at this time.

toward the period you had them under surveillance?

Sal	vamini-	-cross-1	edirect	

A Not that I can recall.

MR. STEINBACH: All right.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BENTLEY:

jhbr

Q Agent Salvamini, I would like to show you what has been previously marked as Government's Exhibit 3516.

If the Court so desires it, we could remark it as Government's Exhibit 1 in this hearing.

(Government's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)

Q I believe on direct you testified that you had no other recollection of what had occurred immediately prior to the arrest beyond AgentO'Connor handing the money under the table to Mr. Glenn.

I would like you to examine paragraph 5 of this document and see if that refreshes your recollection of what occurred.

MR. STEINBACH: Your Honor, I would object to any refreshed recollection at this point. I don't believe it is within the scope of redirect.

THE COURT: You are asking him to look at that to refresh his recollection to see what occurred when?

THE WITNESS: I would say there were maybe a dozen people in the restaurant. It was a reasonably large establishment, but it wasn't crowded by any means. As a matter of fact, I believe they had some of the counter areas close off.

THE COURT: Anything further?

21

22

23

24

- 11	
1	jhbr Salvamini-redirect-recross 36
2	MR. STEINBACH: A couple of questions.
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. STEINBACH:
5	Q Was it as light as the courtroom is right
6	now?
7	A It believe it was brighter.
8	MR. STEINBACH: No further questions.
9	THE COURT: Anything further?
10	MR. BENTLEY: No, your Honor.
11	THE COUFT: All right, thank you.
12	You may come down.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	THE COURT: The government have another
15	witness?
16	MR.BENTLEY: No, your Honor. The government
17	rests at this time.
18	MR. STEINBACH: The defense rests.
19	At this time I would renew the mation on the
20	following grounds, your Honor:
21	It appears that Mr. Rivera was sitting at a toble
22	in this restaurant next > Mr. O'Connor while Mr. Glenn,
23	the defendant, passed LSD under the table and received
24	money in return or received money first and then passed

the LSD.

During this time Mr. Rivera was seated next to

Mr. O'Connor.

There has been this testimony that Mr. O'Connor, according to his own testimony, counted the money down low under the table while Mr. Rivera was sitting next to him.

According to Mr. O'Connor, Mr. Rivera said nothing during that period, merely sat there. He then passed the money over to Mr. Glenn.

Mr. Salvamini testified he saw the money being counted. I submit that is a physical impossibility given how far he was from those people, where they were sitting in relation to each other, where Mr. O'Connor's hand would have to be, under the table, between the two people.

I submit his testimony cannot be believed on his seeing this counting of the money.

In any event, neither of them testified that Mr. Rivera either took the money or actually counted it, that is, Mr. O'Connor was free to count the money in Mr. Rivera's presence if he wanted to, but there is no indication Mr. Rivera responded in any way that would indicate a participation in any crime at that time.

There are of course cases in the Second Circuit,

I believe United States v. Cirillo and United States v.

Tramunti, which say that mere presence at the place
of the commission of a crime does not indicate either

commit that crime. I will say those cases dealt with
the sufficiency of the evidence after trial and not probable
cause, but I think by analogy they would apply here.
That is, if somebody can't be convicted, if a case can't be
sent to a jury on the basis of presence at the scene of a crime.
I submit somebody can't be arrested for it either. I think
Mr. O'Connor explained the reason the Mr. Rivera was
arrested, that the signal was that when he ran his fingers
through his hair everybody was going to be arrested who
wasn't a DEA agent. That was his testimony.

I think that was the reason that Mr. Rivera was arrested at that time.

I submit to your Honor that that was not probable cause to arrest, that his detention was therefore in violation of the Fourth Amendment, and that the statement given during that retention, secured as a result of the violation of the Fourth Amendment, should be suppressed.

MR.BENTLEY: Your Honor, I think the standard for probable cause is quite different from the standard beyond a reasonable doubt, and I just express that at the outset without conceding the factual conclusions reached by counsel. In fact, there is much more here than mere presence at a table. This is a situation where the agent

jhbr

had ample reason for believing that Mr. Rivera was conspiring and also had constructive possession of the LSD in question.

Mr. Rivera was seated next to Agent O'Connor at the table. Mr. Glenn, who actually had physical custody of the LSD, was seated next to Mr. Meyerson on the other side of the table. Mr. Glenn wanted to return to his apartment to conduct this transaction because the restaurant was too public. Mr. Rivera was present at the table, obviously heard that comment.

The agent suggests in lieu of going to the apartment that the money would be counted at the table, out of
sight of most of the people in the restaurant, and then given
to Glenn under the table.

In order for the transaction to occur the participation of Rivera was vital. Rivera, who was seated next to the agent, could examine the money, verify that it was all there, and then indicate to Glenn that the situation was proper for the transfer of LSD in exchange for the money that the agent had. The agent was in no position to put the money on the table and count it in front of Mr. Glenn, who was across the table from him. That would have been too public. That would have had all of the problems of detection which Mr. Glenn was concerned

about. Soe he had the person who accompanied Mr. Glenn to the restaurant, Rivera, look at this money as it was counted.

THE COURT: Did Mr. O'Connor testify that Mr. Rivera looked at the money as it was being counted?

MR. BENTLEY: I believe he did. Agent Salvamini said he did and Agent O'Connor indicated that Rivera had agreed by nodding his head to following this procedure for conducting this transaction, and the fact that he didn't say anything is significant not to show that he was not involved, but that he was satisfied that the \$1800 that had been offered for the LSD was in fact in he possession of the agent, and I think the agent would have been remiss had they simply arrested Mr. Glenn and said "Well, we don't think Mr. Rivera was involved." The agents had every reason to believe that Mr. Rivera was intimately involved in this transaction.

THE COURT: And that's because he looked, as you say, as O'Connor counted the money under the table.

MR. BENTLEY: I don't believe he testified under the table. Below the level of the table, your Honor. He didn't testify that it was physically under the table top. It was between the two men under the level of the table top, where it could not be seen let us say

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

from across the other side of the table by Mr. Glenn. That is why Mr. Rivera had to be involved.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Did Mr. O'Connor testify that Mr. Rivera said anything during the course of these negotiations?

MR. BENTLEY: Orally he did not say that
Mr. Rivera said anything. He did say that Mr. Rivera had
nodded his head in assent on a couple of occasions.

THE COURT: To whom?

MR.BENTLEY: To Mr. O'Connor. He testified that he had suggested that he, O'Connor, would count out the money, which Rivera would observe, and after counting the money, if the amount was correct, he, O'Connor, would pass the money under the table to Glenn and Glenn would pass him back the LSD.

Rivera assented to this by nodding.

THE COURT: The defendant Rivera was arrested by Salvamini, is that right?

MR.BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And Salvamini didn't hear any of this conversation, did he?

MR. BENTLEY: He observed Rivera watching the money being counted.

THE COURT: He didn't hear the conversation in

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which you claim Rivera agreed to participate by watching the count and then modding to Glenn, is that so?

MR. BENTLEY: He did not hear that conversation.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BENTLEY: He was also acting pursuant to the signal given by O'Connor.

THE COURT: What signal?

MR. BENTLEY: Scratching his head.

THE COURT: O'Connor testified that their agreement was that everybody at the table would be arrested when he scratched his head, isn't that so?

MR. BENTLEY: I don't think that's relevant if there was probable cause for this defendant's arrest.

THE COURT: That was what I was getting at.

What probable cause did Salvamini have? He didn't hear
the conversation, isn't that so?

MR.BENTLEY: That is correct.

THE COURT: He testified that the distance he estimated that he was from the defendant was from the witness stand to the door of the courtroom, which I estimate about 25 feet. Isn't that so?

MR. BENTLEY: That was my estimate, also, your Honor. He was seated on a stool at a lunch counter.

I think your Honor can take judicial notice that he would be

1 jhbr

slightly more elevated than the level of the people sitting at the table. Mr. Rivera had turned, I believe, or was at an angle, so that he could observe the money being counted. Agent O'Connor was more or less with his back to the wall. Agent Salvamini was looking directly at that scene at the table from his position.

THE COURT: And he saw Rivera watch O'Connor count the money?

MR. BENTLEY: That is correct.

THE COURT: What else did he testify that he had seen?

MR.BENTLEY: He saw the money after it had been counted being passed under the table to Glenn and he also saw Glenn pass an envelope under the table to O'Connor.

THE COURT: What did he see Rivera do?

MR. BENTLEY: He saw Rivera nod his head on oc-

THE COURT: But he didn't hear the conversation, so how does he know what he is nodding his head to? If he didn't hear the conversation how did he have probable cause to arrest Rivera for nodding his head? It is not probable cause to arrest anybody if they nodded their head, is it?

MR. BENTLEY: If Agent O'Connor had made the ar-

1	jhbr 44
2	rest I don't think there would be any question that Agent
3	O'Connor had probable cause. If Agent O'Connor had pointed
4	to Mr. Rivera and said "Agent Salvamini, arrest this man,"
5	I don't think that an arrest would be any more subject to
6	question than the arrest by Agent O'Connor. I think
7	there was probable cause for an arrest.
8	THE COURT: You say by O'Connor.
9	MR. BENTLEY: Yes.
10	THE COURT: But there was not by Salvamini.
11	MR. BENTLEY: I believe there was for Salvamini
12	also your Honor. He observed the participation of Rivera
13	in the transaction.
14	THE COURT: All right. He saw Rivera watch
15	O'Connor count the money, right?
16.	MR. BENTLEY: That is correct.
17	THE COURT: And he saw Rivera nod his head, is
18	that it?
19	MR. BENTLEY: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Did he see anything else?
21	MR. BENTLEY: He saw the money pass under the
22	table.
23	THE COURT: He saw what?
24	MR.BENTLEY: He saw the money

THE COURT We are talking about Rivera.

*

2 | What did Rivera do?

MR. BENTLEY: I think your Honor has summarized it accurately.

THE COURT: Two things, then; he saw him nod his head, he saw him watch O'Connor counting money. Is that probable cause for arrest by Salvamini?

MR. BENTLEY: I believe it is, your Honor.

Your Honor, Agent O'Connor gave the pre-agreed upon arrest signal. Whether Agent O'Connor had previously stated

"Arrest anyone at the table" or "When I give this signal come to the table, I will tell you to arrest," seems to me to be irrelevant, unless there is someone at the table who should not have been arrested.

THE COURT: Wait a moment. I don't know that

I am following that. Start again.

MR. BENTLEY: Whatever Agent O'Connor had previously said with respect to the arrest signal as far as who should be arrested doesn't, to my view, come into play unless there was an individual at that table as to whom Agent O'Connor did not have probable cause.

THE COURT: In other words, you are saying the arrest here was made by O'Connor, not Salvamini?

MR.BENTLEY: I am saying that it was made at O'Connor's direction, that where Agent Salvamini had from

SUUTILEAS DISTRICT COURT ALTURILAS DE COCATIONNE

his position enough information to warrant an arrest, let
us say if he was observing four individuals whom he didn't
know, none of whom was a government agent, none of whom was
an informant, I think we would have a very different
situation than we do here, where one of the participants
was a drug enforcement agent who gave a signal, and
I think the critical question is whether there was probable
cause for Agent O'Connor to give that signal when he did,
because Agent Salvamini didn't simply decide on his
own "I am going to make the arrest at this time," he waited
until that signal was given, and he was acting pursuant to the
direction of Agent O'Connor.

THE COURT: So the question is whether Agent
O'Connor had probable cause to arrest.

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's see what his probable cause was. He testified that Glenn and Rivera came into the restaurant and took seats, is that it, Glenn sittingnext to Meyerson and Rivera sitting next to O'Connor?

MR. BENTLEY: That is correct.

THE COURT: Then Glenn asked Meyerson where was the money for the earlier transaction, is that it?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

Jan

THE COURT: What did Meyerson say?

MR. BENTLEY: I think Agent O'Connor answered that question by saying that he, O'Connor, still had the money from the earlier transaction and that he would provide that money to Glenn in exchange for which Glenn would furnish him the second installment of this 10,000 dose transaction, after which O'Connor would pay the second half of the purchase price. Then Glenn indicated that he did not want to do this in the restaurant because it was too public.

O'Connor said "We are going to do it here or we won't do it at all." Then this procedure was suggested for counting the money and conducting the transaction under the table.

This conversation occurred in the presence of Mr. Rivera. He was seated right at the table. He wasn't under the influence of drugs or alcohol. Mr. O'Connor said his appearance was normal. He watched the money being counted. Prior to that he agreed that he would play that role in the transaction.

The government submits that he had constructive possession of the LSD, because Mr. Glenn would not have passed that LSD under the table had not Mr. Rivera -- let us say this: Had Mr. Rivera said "The moey is not here, it is not enough," that LSD would have stayed right in Mr. Glenn's possession.

THE COURT: Tell me what the conversation was according to the witness O'Connor between himself and Glenn. What did he testify as to how they would proceed?

MR. BENTLEY: He stated that he would count
the money, Rivera would watch him count the money, then he
would pass the money to Glenn under the table and
Glen would pass him the LSD. At that point everyone
agreed to that. Mr. Rivera indicated his assent by nodding.

THE COURT: But he didn't say anything, is that it?

MR. BENTLEY: He didn't say"I agree". He nodded.

THE COURT: Then what else did Mr. Rivera do, according to Mr. O'Connor?

MR. BENTLEY: Then he watched the money being counted and nodded after it was counted. At that point the transfer of the money for the LSD occurred between Glenn and Agent O'Connor.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Reporter, would you read the direct testimon of Mr. O'Connor.

(Record read.)

THE COURT: I don't recall Mr. O'Connor saying anything about Mr. Rivera modding to Mr. Glenn that the

that so?

money had been counted. Did youhear that?

MR. BENTLEY: I did not, your Honor. I think given the prior assent to the manner in which the transaction was conducted I think the agent had probable cause to arrest the defendant, because he said nothing, because he acquiesced in the passing of the money, which he would not have done had the money been insufficient.

THE COURT: In any event, the first time that O'Connor knew about what Rivera was when he came into the restaurant with Glenn, isn't that so?

MR. BENTLEY: That is correct.

THE COURT: According to O'Connor, he arrested
Meyerson earlier along with Mark Fisher, is that right?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And Meyerson agreed to turn over his source, is that right?

MR. BENTLEY: That is right.

THE COURT: And his source was Glenn, isn't

MR. BENTLEY: That's right.

THE COURT: And he made a telephone call to Glenn, isn't that so?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: He didn't say anything about

2 Piver

Rivera, did he?

MR. BENTLEY: Maybe he didn't know Rivera was Glenn's partner, your Honor.

THE COURT: That is what we are getting at, isn't that so? And so Meyerson called Glenn to come for the transaction, to complete the transaction, that is, bring the LSD, get the money, isn't that so?

MR.BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And again came into the restaurant accompanied by Rivera, isn't that so?

MR. BENTLEY: That is correct.

THE COURT: What we have then is O'Connor's testimony that Rivera assented to arrangements which he, O'Connor, had made with Glenn, is that it, about counting money?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor. We also have the prior conversation concerning Glenn's reluctance to conducting the transaction in the restaurant because it was too public, the fact that Rivera was present at the table and Rivera could be inferred to know what was going on was an illegal transaction.

THE COURT: And the LSD was pssed under the table by Glenn, is that 'it?

MR BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Did you want to say anything, Mr. Steinbach?

MR. STEINBACH: I just wanted to point out a comple of things, your Honor. First of all, the fact that Mr. Bentley just brought out, that Mr. Glenn said he didn't want to do this transaction in the restaurant at all, but in his apartment, only indicates that Mr. Rivera was not there to help him in the transaction, because they hadn't come to the restaurant by that statement to do anything.

a point of correcting me about the procedures for this transaction, that is, the money went first under the table to Mr. Glenn and then the LSD was going to come back under the table. If the money was going to be passed first to Mr. Glenn before any LSD was passed then Mr. Rivera's participation was completely unnecessary, because Glenn would get the money before he would part with any LSD and he could count it himself, and for all we know, he did.

The third point is that this plan to which Mr. O'Connor says Mr. Rivera nodded after he discussed it was all Mr. O'Connor's doing. That is, he says "I am now going to count the money and I will have Mr. Rivera look."

It wasn't as if Mr. Rivera or Mr. Glenn said "Show the money to Mr. Rivera, he will count it." It was something

that Mr. O'Connor did perhaps to draw Mr. Rivera into this, but it was cartainly something that did not originate with either Mr. Glenn or Mr. Rivera.

MR. BENTLEY: If I may respond to that, your Honor, first of all, the reason the money was counted on Mr. O'Connor's side of the table was because Mr. O'Connor did not want to let that money go without assurance that he would get the LSD

THE COURT: But he did pass it first, isn't that so?

MR.BENTLEY: After it had been counted.

THE COURT: He passed the money under the table to Glenn, isn't that so?

MR. BENTLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Why couldn't have Glenn counted the money and assured himself that he had it, and having done that, passed it back? What guarantee did O'Connor have that after he passed the money, even when it was counted, that Glenn would then turn over the merchandise? None whatsoever, did ha?

MR. BENTLEY: This was payment for merchandise that O'Connor already had, the first 5,000 dosage units.

As to counsel's argument that Mr. O'Connor set

this up --

THE COURT: What is the second thing then done?

MR. BENTLEY: It was the second 5,000 dosage units that was turned over to O'Connor after the money was passed and O'Connor still had to pay for that transaction at the time the arrest was made.

THE COURT: O'Connor had to pay for the first transaction and he said "I will not pay for the first transaction until I get the second amount," isn't that so?

MR. BENTLEY: I believe he testified that he was paying for the first transaction and he would not pay for the second transaction until he got the LSD that was involved in the second transacton, which was what O'Connor passed him after the money -- excuse me -- what Glenn passed him after the money went under the table.

THE COURT: You say that O'Connor found the necessity before passing that money to have Rivera observe that the money was all there, is that so?

MR. BENTLEY: I am saying that is the way the transaction occurred.

THE COURT: I amgetting at where there was any reason for that. There doesn't appear to be any reason for Rivera to observe that the money was all there,

1 jhbr

sin

since his statement to Glenn was "I am not going to pay you until I get the second amount," but he did pay him.

MR. BENTLEY: That was payment for the prior lot of LSD, the lot that was involved at the El Tor Restaurant earlier in the evening. One reason why O'Connor or Glenn may have assented to this procedure is that Glenn was sitting on the aisle, facing the door, facing the area where people would come in and go out of the restaurant. It may be that Glenn went along because he didn't want to be -- he wouldn't be in a position to count the money as easily as Rivera and O'Connor, who were seated with their backs toward the door.

THE COURT: Let us start again. O'Connor said to Glenn "I am not going to give you the money for the first transaction until I get the LSD for the second purchase," is that it?

MR.BENTLEY: No. I believe he testified that he said he would pay for the first transaction but not pay for the second transaction until he had received the drugs involved in that transaction.

MR. STEINBACH: Your Honor, I don't believe that was the testimony. I think it was just the opposite by both Mr. O'Connor and Mr. Salvamini. My notes indicate

that he passed the money first to Glenn, Glenn then passed 3 the LSD.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

lo

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BENTLEY: That is correct, but the LSD that was passed was LSD that had not been paid for. The first passing of money was in payment for the prior 5000 dots that were received by Agent O'Connor at the El Tor Restaurant.

As the Court recalls, the testimony was that when Mr. Glenn came in he said to Mr. Meyerson, the middle man here, "Where is the money, where is the money for the first transaction?" He expected Mr. Meyerson to have that money.

Agent O'Connor said "I still have it. to complete the whole thing now, make sure I am going to get my 10,000 dosage units."

THE COURT: All right. So why couldn't he have passed the money to Glenn and said "Here is the money for the first transaction and give me the second installment of LSD." ?

MR. BENTLEY: In effect, that is what he did, except Glenn was ot in a position, the way the transaction was arranged, Glenn was not going to count that money, he was going to take it under the table and keep it without looking at it, because it had been counted by Mr. Rivera.

make any sense to have Mr. Rivera watch the counting of the money which was done in a restaurant. What was the point of that? All he had to do was hand it to Glenn under the table and have Mr. Glenn count it to satisfy himself that he had \$1800 and then pass the second installment. There wasn't any reason in the world to involve the defendant Rivera, as the defendant Rivera claims. His claim is he was just brought into this by the agent, and we are examining his claim to see whether there is anything to that, aren't we?

MR. BENTLEY: That may be a matter for defense at trial, your Honor, but in a suppression hearing the question is did the agent have reason to believe that Rivera had an agreement with Glenn with regard to this LSD or did he have sufficient control over the LSD to be charged, and I think a reasonable man would have concluded --

Rivera into 1. as Rivera's lawyer says. He, O'Connor, used Rivera as his agent for purposes of counting the money. Isn't that when happened?

MR. BENTLEY: I don't think O'Connor -THE COUPT: It wasn't Glenn's idea that Rivera

should	watch	the cou	nting of	the mon	ey on Glen	n's behalf.
It was	an ide	a which	origina	ted with	O'Connor,	isn't that
so?						

MR. BENTLEY: And to which --

THE COURT: O'Connor said "We can have Rivera watch the money being counted."

Mk. BENTLEY: That is correct.

THE COURT: So that O'Connor had no basis for concluding that Rivera had constructive possession of the LSD, did he?

MR. BENTLEY: I believe he did because Mr. Glenn recognized Rivera's role in this thing.

THE COURT: How, by suggesting that he watch the counting of the money?

MR. BENTLEY: By not transferring that money -- by transferring the LSD after the money had been counted.

THE COURT: No. I am talking about Rivera. Did he recognize Rivera's role in this thing?

MR. BENTLEY: Mr. Glenn passed the LSD after accepting money that he had not counted in reliance upon the fact that when Mr. Rivera watched this money being counted he had made no statement to the effect that "There is less money here than has been agreed upon."

THE COURT: We went over that earlier.

. 16

Rivera said nothing after watching the money being counted.

less money there than Agent O'Connor suggested this deal would not have occurred, the LSD would not have passed.

THE COURT: That's a supposition or speculation on our part. There is no evidence to support that.

MR. EENTLEY: I believe that on the facts it was reasonable for the agent to conclude that Mr. Rivera was involved in this deal and that the deal would not occur without Mr. Rivera's implicit endorsement of the fact that the money was there.

I think there is a different standard here,
your Honor, than a question of whether Mr. Rivera may have
been entrapped, which is a jury issue at trial. The
government is not at a burden here to prove that Mr. Rivera
was guilty at the time he was arrested.

had probable cause to arrest the defendant Rivera, and as

I see it, he had no basis for concluding that Mr. Rivera
was a partner or had constructive possession of the LSD
when he sat down at the table with Glemm. He then suggested, according to his testimony, that Rivera watch
the counting of some money. He doesn't tell us that
Rivera said anything, he simply nodded, and then, when the

money was counted, he doesn't tell us that Rivera nodded to Glenn. So that I don't think he had any probable cause to believe that Rivera had constructive possession of that merchandise.

MR. BENTLEY: Your Honor, I would request permission to recall Agent O'Connor on that particular point, your Honor, since I believe it is a critical point. Frankly, the government anticipated that that aspect of the case would be brought out, and if your Honor would care to. I would respectfully ask permission to recall him for one or two questions.

THE COURT: One or two what questions?

MR. BENTLEY: On the issue of what Rivera did

after the money had been counted.

THE COURT: He has already testified. He didn't testify that Rivera nodded or said anything after the money was counted, and it is the defendant's claim that this was something made up by O'Connor to involve Rivera, and it seems to me that is whan happened here. O'Connor didn't have any probable cause to believe that Rivera had constructive possession of that merchandise when he came into the restaurant. Meyerson certainly hadn't mentioned it.

Meyerson called Glenn. Glenn had the package in his possession. From all that appears, Rivera just happened to

in the restaurant, as O'Connor himself testified.

Glenn said he wouldn't have any transaction in the restaurant. He, Glenn, was conducting this. And it was O'Connor who brought Rivera into it, andthere is no basis for, even on his testimony, concluding that Rivera even agreed, because he doesn't tell us that Rivera said anything or did anytying. He said he watched him count some money as he held it to his side and then he passed the money under the table to Glenn first, which he certainly could have done in the first instance and said "Here, count it, and then give the second package," because if the package had come first then his story would have a little more walidity, but he passed the money first, which renders his statement that Rivera had to watch the counting not believable.

The motion is granted.

SOLEY CHIARE NEW YORK NY .- "PLINE

		A 108				
1		WITNESS I				61
2	Name		Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recros
3	Michael W. O'Connor		2	13		
4	Joseph Salvamini		20	23	34	36
5		EXHIBIT I	NDEV			
6		EXHIBIT II				In
7	Defendant			ificati	on Ev	vidence
8	Α			32		33
9	Government					
10	1			34		
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	• .					

23

24 .